

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/517,516	10/30/2	2005	Clifford H. B. Beck	1085-064-PWH	1085-064-PWH 7083		
60597 PATRICK W.	7590 HIIGHEY	05/02/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER LU, JIPING		
P.O. BOX 655	3		·	LU, JI			
PORTLAND,	OR 97228			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•				3749			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
				05/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u></u>				\mathcal{C}				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Com		10/517,516	BECK, CLIFFORD H. B.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jiping Lu	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress				
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat If NO period for reply is specified above, th Failure to reply within the set or extended p	DM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period w leriod for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI date of this communication, even if timely file	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status								
1) Responsive to communica	ation(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL .	-	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-33</u> is/are pend	ling in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) _		vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allow			•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-33</u> is/are rejec								
7) Claim(s) is/are obje		a alle alle a constitue de						
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objecte	•							
10) The drawing(s) filed on								
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	• •					
		on is required if the drawing(s) is ob						
11) The oath or declaration is o	objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N								
		have been received.						
		s have been received in Applicat		Chama				
		ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eu in triis National	Stage				
		of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
			•					
Attach mont/o								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) Interview Commence	/(DTO 412)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/20/06</u>. 	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 20-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 20 and 27, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20-21, 24, 26, 27-28, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (U. S. Pat. 3,525,164).

Brown shows an apparatus and a method for drying an article W comprising a suction device 10 having an inlet (at 24), means 12, 14 for conveying an article W past the suction device 10 so that the said surface of the article W passes by the inlet of the suction device 10, means 51 for directing at least one jet of air at the said surface of the article W as the surface passes by the inlet of the suction device 10 which are arranged same as claimed. The suction device 10 comprises an air moving device 26, 54 and a body having an inlet opening 42 at one

Application/Control Number: 10/517,516

Art Unit: 3749

end and outlet 50 connection at the other end. The means for directing the jet of air comprises a plurality of nozzles36 distributed around the inlet opening of the body. Brown discloses the claimed invention except for the shape of body is tubular and the locations of the nozzles. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to design the body of suction device of Brown with any desired shape and to place the nozzles any desired locations in order to obtain the optimum result since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed tubular shape and locations of the nozzles solve any stated problem in a new or unexpected way or are for any particular purposes which are unobvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art since, the drying apparatus and method of Brown will perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with the body of the suction device having any kind of the shape and with the nozzles placed at any desired locations. Moreover, a change in shape is generally recognized as a design consideration within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

4. Claims 22-23, 25, 29-30, 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (U. S. Pat. 3,525,164) in view of Crankshaw et al. (U. S. Pat. 4,089,725).

The drying apparatus and method of Brown as above includes all that is recited in claims 22-23, 25, 29-30 and 33 except for the nozzle is connected to a source of compressed air and means for detecting the presence of an article and means for directing a pulse of air at the surface of the article in response to the detection of the article by the detecting means.

Crankshaw et al. teach a concept of using a source of compressed air 49 for supplying compressed air to the nozzles 55a, 55b,55c and using means 45a for detecting the presence of an article 43a and means 47a for directing a pulse of air at the surface of the article in response to

Art Unit: 3749

the detection of the article by the detecting means 45a (col. 5, lines 9-13) same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the drying apparatus and method of Brown to include a compressed air source, an article presence detecting means and means for directing air at the surface of the article in response to the detection of the article by the detecting means as taught by Crankshaw et al. in order to improve the drying efficiency.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 571 272 4878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KENNETH RINEHART can be reached on 571 272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Siping Lu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

J. L.